Subject No. 252.

Power to Inamdars to recover kandayam from Inferior holders.

Mr. Madappa of Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—At present, much inconvenience is felt by Inamdars to recover kandayam from inferior holders by resorting to Civil Courts. It is requested that these Inamdars may at least be shown some concession to issue notices to attach and destrain property and then to apply to the Deputy Commissioner for the sale of such property by auction for the realisation of the said revenue.

Reply of Government.—Section 99 of the Land Revenue Code provides for the grant of this power.

Subject No. 253.

Enfranchisement of service Inam lands.

Mr. D. S. Hanumantha Rao.

Summary of discussion.—Though Shanbhogi and Pateli Inam lands are fully assessed, they are classed as Inams without being enfranchised. Such Inams are being enjoyed both by the officiators and hakdars. When the hakdars fail to pay the assessment due by them, the Inam lands being unenfranchised cannot well be proceeded against. To avoid such difficulties, such Inams are enfranchised in His Majesty's Territory and the potgi fixed according to the prescribed scale. It is therefore suggested that the service Inam lands may be enfranchised and charged, as in His Majesty's Territory, with half quit-rent and the potgi paid to the officiators.

Reply of Government.—Nothing is wanted to be done in this matter.

Subject No. 254.

Khalsat on Nadig and Brahmadaya inams.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.
„ Madappa of Mysore Taluk.
„ Singlachar of Kunigal Taluk,
„ M. Venkatakrishnaiya.

Summary of discussion.—It is requested that the khalsat imposed on Nadig and Brahmadaya Inams may be remitted as in the case of Devadaya Inam lands.

Reply of Government.—This cannot be done. The matter has already been disposed of.

Subject No. 255.

Use of three-pie papers.

Mr. K. Krishnamurti Rao of Magadi.

Summary of discussion.—The use of three-pie papers for Revenue petitions is enforced. This is a great hardship on the poor raiyats. Pray that such papers may be ordered to be used for only Civil and Criminal Courts.

The member said that in Magadi, Nelamangala and other taluks of the Bangalore District the use of this paper was insisted upon.

Reply of Government.—There does not appear to be any definite rule requiring that petitions in the Revenue Department should be engrossed on such papers. An enquiry will be made.

Subject No. 256.

Copying fees for Revenue records.

Mr. Lingegowda of the Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In the case of copies of Revenue records the levy of additional fees for excess number of words recently introduced may be abolished and the old rules may be enforced.

Reply of Government.—There has been no alteration of rules of late. Will be enquired into.

Subject No. 257.

Speedy disposal of Revenue papers.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Revenue papers are allowed to remain undisposed for a very long time, *i.e.*, upwards of 10 or 15 years. By this delay, people in general suffer